UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/601,288	06/20/2003	Alan K. Schaer	09610.1271	1511	
Edward J Lynch	7590 02/11/200 1	EXAMINER			
One Market		COHEN, LEE S			
Spear Tower Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3739	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/11/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/601,288	SCHAER, ALAN K.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lee S. Cohen	3739			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 h	May 2007				
·		s action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٥,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-52 and 54-77 is/are pending in the	application				
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	i) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-52 and 54-77</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
	ion Papers					
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic	t(s) The of References Cited (PTO-892) The of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) The of Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) ☐ Notice of Informal I	pate			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Reissue Applications

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1), a supplemental reissue oath/declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) must be received before this reissue application can be allowed.

Claims 1-52 and 54-77 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251. See 37 CFR 1.175. The nature of the defect is set forth above.

Receipt of an appropriate supplemental oath/declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) will overcome this rejection under 35 U.S.C. 251. An example of acceptable language to be used in the supplemental oath/declaration is as follows:

"Every error in the patent which was corrected in the present reissue application, and is not covered by a prior oath/declaration submitted in this application, arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant." See MPEP § 1414.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 30, 36, 37, 46-48, 51, 54, 55, 59, 60, 68, 69, 71, 72, and 77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Panescu et al (5,769,847) in view of Nashef et al (5,682,899). Applicant's attention is directed to columns 5-8 in Panescu et al and, in particular, the figure 9 embodiment. Panescu et al also disclose at column 6, lines 28-32, that the electrodes can be as small as 4 French (1.35 mm) in diameter and have lengths varying from about 2 mm to

Application/Control Number: 10/601,288 Page 3

Art Unit: 3739

about 10 mm. Adjacent electrodes are spaced no farther apart than about 2.5 times an electrode diameter. Accordingly, the electrode spacing can be within the claimed range. The reference further discloses a core member 28 that extends into a jacket (see Figure 8).

Nashef et al disclose the use of a metal band about a temperature sensor to improve its performance. Applicant's attention is directed to Figure 1, elements 19 and 20. The reference further discloses the use of a jacket as detailed at column 13, lines 46-48. The jacket comprises the heat means coil and the insulator. As claimed, an insulating jacket is not disposed directly on the metal band. Given this teaching, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to incorporate such features in Panescu et al to effect superior temperature sensing. Further, the process by which the band is attached to the temperature sensor is within the level of skill for the artisan to select to optimize performance.

With respect to claims 68 and 69, the recited methods are deemed to be obvious over the Panescu et al reference. Detecting electrical activity with the electrodes after delivering ablation energy is conventional in the art and would have been an obvious step. Further, the Panesu et al method encompasses delivering of energy to the electrodes in various patterns (i.e., sequential) to achieve optimum lesion formation.

Claims 38, 40-45, 50, 52, 61-67, 70, and 73-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Panescu et al (5,769,847)) in view of Nashef et al (5,682,899), and further in view of Littman et al (5,509,411). Littman et al disclose the use of braided helical conductors in a shaft that partially covers the electrodes as well as the particular core structure to have been well known in the art. The reference also discloses the use of a distal tip coil member.

Given these teachings, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to incorporate these features in the Panescu et al device to render it more flexible and maneuverable.

Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Panescu et al (5,769,847)) in view of Nashef et al (5,682,899) and Littman et al (5,509,411) as applied to claim 38, and further in view of Alferness et al (5,531,781). The distal tip coil member of Littman et al fails to include a polymeric cover. Alferness et al disclose a similar coil tip with such a cover (column 5, lines 5-7). Given this teaching, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to incorporate such a cover to render the device safer for intravascular use.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Nashef et al clearly teaches the metal band feature of the temperature sensor.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/601,288 Page 5

Art Unit: 3739

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lee S. Cohen whose telephone number is 571-272-4763. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lee S. Cohen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3739

/Lee S. Cohen/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3739

February 8, 2008